

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viggnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/800,828 | 03/08/2001 | Joseph B. Rissin | 3652 | |
| 75 | | | | |
| STEPHEN E. FELDMAN, P.C. | | | EXAMINER | |
| 12 East 41st Street New York, NY 10017 | | | BRITTAIN, JAMES R | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3677 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/26/2003 | 3 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | /\ | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| Advisory Action | 09/800,828 | RISSIN ET AL. | | | | |
| - | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | James R. Brittain | 3677 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). | visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the lan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensive the state of the shortener (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in | fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | | | | | | |
| $2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by | ecause: | · | | | | |
| (a) 🛮 they raise new issues that would require furth | er consideration and/or search (| see NOTE below); | | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by mat | erially reducing or simplifying the | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | ction(s): | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | I be allowable if submitted in a s | separate, timely filed amendment | | | | |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: | or reconsideration has been cons | sidered but does NOT place the | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which were newly | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows | : | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: None. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>2-8,11-13 and 15-17</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,9,10 and 14</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None. | | | | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is | a) approved or b) disap | proved by the Examiner. | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | James R. Brittain Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3677 | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01) Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/800,828





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The limitations indicating that the fingers and tab extend on opposed ends of the base plate (claim 1, lines 9, 18; claim 9, lines 9, 14), that the second end extends entirely outwardly from the base plate with the second end being substantially inline with the first end (claim 1, lines 15-18), and the tabs having an inward bend to facilitate gripping and removal or replacement of the clasp (claim 1, lines 22-24) are new issues requiring further search and consideration. This was indicated in the examiner interview summary record mailed 8/4/03 that indicates on the record that I informed Mr. Bomzer that would not enter the proposal to claim 1 at this point of prosecution. The broad proposed claim 9 was not even presented in the interviews..